

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/777,420		02/06/2001	Sean B. Weatherill	GRTSTF.011A	6959
20995	7590	05/21/2003			
		NS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN FOURTEE	NTH FLO		NGUYEN, JOHN QUOC		
IRVINE, C	A 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3654	
				DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
r	0.55	09/777,420	WEATHERILL ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		John Q. Nguyen	3654
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the communic	pears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address -/
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed irry (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	March 2003 .	
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	his action is non-final.	
3)☐ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims		
·	Claim(s) <u>1-25,27 and 28</u> is/are pending in the	e application	
.,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-25,27 and 28</u> is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r	
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
· ·	ion Papers	•	
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b)□ objected to by	the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)⊡	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in	Application No

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)

1) 🔯	Notice of	References	Cited	(PTO-892))

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) 🔲 Other:

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.



Application/Control Number: 09/777,420

Art Unit: 3654

Claims 14 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

For clarity and/or definiteness, it appears that –hose—should be inserted after "linear" (claim 14, line 2).

It appears that claim 24 is not further limiting.

All claims should be revised carefully to correct all other deficiencies similar to the ones noted above.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-25 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hall (US 2403277).

Hall discloses substantially all the claimed features including an access panel 2 with an aperture 17. That the exterior surface of the access panel has a facial indicia of an animal, human, or alien would have been an obvious matter of ornamental design choice to a person having ordinary skill in the art based on ornamental preference, providing no new or unexpected results. In other words, the ornamental design of the exterior surface of the access panel would have been an obvious matter of ornamental design choice to a person having ordinary skill in the art based on ornamental preference, providing no new or unexpected results. Hinged housing panels, covers, doors, etc., are old and well known in the art; therefore, to make the access panel



Application/Control Number: 09/777,420

Art Unit: 3654

hingedly connected to the housing would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to facilitate opening and closing.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hall (US 2403277) in view of Ewald (US 2286904).

The shape of the housing, i.e. spherical, would have been an obvious matter of ornamental design choice to a person having ordinary skill in the art based on ornamental preference, providing no new or unexpected results. Ewald discloses another similar apparatus in which the housing is almost spherical; to make the housing of Hall generally spherical as taught by Ewald would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art for aesthetic purposes and to eliminate sharp corners to reduce injuries.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 14, 21, 25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2689. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Matecki, can be reached on (703) 308-2688. The fax phone



Application/Control Number: 09/777,420

Art Unit: 3654

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 (before Final) and (703) 872-9327 (after Final).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

> John Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3654